

EVENT VIOLATION
INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT
MINERALS REGULATORY PROGRAM

Company/Mine: Rock-It Stone
Permit #: S/013/0008

CO # MC-2004-03-01-02
Violation # 1 of 2

SERIOUSNESS

1. What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that **the event is NOT the same as the violation**. Mark and explain each event.

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | a. | Activity outside the approved permit area. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | b. | Injury to the public (public safety). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | c. | Damage to property. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | d. | Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e. | Environmental harm. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | f. | Water pollution. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | g. | Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | h. | Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | i. | No event occurred as a result of the violation. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | j. | Other. |

Explanation: Mining operations were being conducted even though the operator had not paid the permit fee and had been informed that approval to mine had been suspended.

2. Has the event or damage occurred? I think so.
If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: The operator was conducting operations on a suspended notice.

3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No, and there was no likelihood of damage unless the operator violated some other aspect of the rules.

4. If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not been discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: _____

B. DEGREE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).

- ☐ Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.

Explanation: _____

- ☒ Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.

Explanation: The operator continued mining operations after receiving a letter informing him that approval to mine had been suspended. The permit fee was not paid in spite of a phone call reminding the operator that it needed to be paid.

- ☐ If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.

Explanation: _____

- ☐ Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?

Explanation: _____

- ☐ Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.

Explanation: _____

Was any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? Yes.
If yes explain.

Explanation: Althoug approval to mine was suspended, the operator continued to mine.

GOOD FAITH

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies,

describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The violation has not yet been abated.

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: _____

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? _____ If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Paul Baker
Authorized Representative

LBRL
Signature

9/17/04
Date